

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2015) KLR VOL 7 PART 368 pp. 2341-2522

JULY 2015

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Fabiyi v. State p. 2349
2. Idemudia v. State p. 2369
3. Independent National Electoral Commission v. Ogbadibo Local Government p. 2399
4. Okanlawon v. State p. 2431
5. State v. Gwan p. 2469

COURT OF APPEAL

Executive Governor of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

ACTIONS - Public officers - Limitation law - Effect - Subject to the exception provided in P.O.P Act s. 2(a) - The law extinguishes cause of action - If it is commenced after the stipulated period of three months (H2) INEC v. Ogbadibo Local Govt. p. 2399

ALIBI - Investigation of - Alibi must be definite as to time and whereabouts of accused - And once timeously raised - It must be thoroughly investigated by the police (H2) Idemudia v. State p. 2369

ALIBI - Proof - Accused is not obliged to prove his alibi - As it is enough if he supplies material facts - Sufficient for police to investigate the defence raised (H4) Idemudia v. State p. 2369

ARMED ROBBERY - Conspiracy - Conviction - Based solely on testimony of PW2 cannot stand - As testimony of the witness is unreliable - Since he failed to identify appellant at earliest time (H5) Idemudia v. State p. 2369

ARMED ROBBERY - Identification parade - Conduct of - It is essential when there is dispute as to identity of accused - But where no dispute exists - There will be no need for the parade (H3) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

ARMED ROBBERY - Ingredients - Proof - Beyond appellant's confessional statement - Testimonies of PW1 & 5 establish that there was armed robbery - And that appellant was among the robbers (H3) Fabiyi v. State p. 2349

ARMED ROBBERY - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution must prove that there was robbery - That the robbers were armed - And that accused was the armed robber or one of the armed robbers (H1) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

ARMED ROBBERY - Proof - PW2 having failed to identify appellant as to fix him at the crime scene - Cannot be accorded the status of a credible witness - To sustain the proof required of prosecution (H3) Idemudia v. State p. 2369

CHARGES - Substantive charge and conspiracy - Proof - The approach is to deal with main charge before conspiracy - And failure to prove main charge does not make conviction for conspiracy inappropriate (H5) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

CORROBORATION - Meaning of - For evidence to be corroborative - It must be an independent testimony which affects accused - By connecting or tending to connect him with the crime (H5) State v. Gwan p. 2469

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Binding nature - Extent of - Where accused makes confession - He is not confessing for his accomplices - As the confession is only against him (H4) State v. Gwan p. 2469

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Conviction - Where based solely on confessional statement that is unequivocal - Prevails on appeal in spite of absence of any corroborating evidence (H2) Fabiyi v. State p. 2349

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Retraction - Where accused during trial retracts from extrajudicial statement earlier made to police - It is his duty to impeach the earlier statement (H7) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Test of - Once accused retracts his confession - Court is expected to inter alia examine if there is anything outside the confession - To show that it is true (H8) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Validity of - Exhibit K is a confessional statement - As the same was tendered and admitted without objection (H4) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Confession - Where confession is established to be positive and unequivocal - The same will suffice to ground a finding of guilt - Regardless of any retraction (H9) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification - Proof - Whenever court is faced with evidence of identification - It must ensure and be satisfied that accused before it - Was the person who committed the offence (H2) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Means of - Guilt of accused person can be established by confessional statement - Circumstantial evidence - And evidence of an eye witness (H6) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Number of witnesses - How many witnesses prosecution needs to prove its case - Is entirely its responsibility - But not that of the defence (H10) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Trial within trial - Conduct of - Having cast doubt on voluntariness of respondent's statement - The trial Judge ought to have concluded the already started mini trial (H3) State v. Gwan p. 2469

EVIDENCE - Confession - Retraction - The fact that accused has retracted a confession - Does not mean that court cannot act and rely on same - To convict him (H2) State v. Gwan p. 2469

EVIDENCE - Conspiracy - Proof - Actus reus of the offence is agreement between two persons or more - To act unlawfully or lawfully in unlawful way - And it is inferred from facts and evidence led (H1) State v. Gwan p. 2469

EVIDENCE - Crime - Identification - Witness fixing accused at crime scene - Must mention name of accused at earliest opportunity time to police - Otherwise court will be cautious in accepting his evidence (H1) Idemudia v. State p. 2369

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation of - Bias against the trial court was uncalled for - As appellant was given adequate opportunity to be heard - And was heard in defence of the charge against him (H11)

Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

IDENTIFICATION PARADE - Issue - Determination of - Identification of accused is only relevant - Where same is an issue before trial court - Otherwise court is not expected to dwell on it (H1) Fabiyi v. State p. 2349

LOCUS STANDI - Proof - Plaintiff is said to have shown sufficient interest - Once he has right or vested interest to protect and enforce - Which has been disclosed in the writ and statement of claim (H1) INEC v. Ogbadibo Local Govt. p. 2399

COURT OF APPEAL

ACTIONS - Limitation law - Purpose - It is to protect defendant from injustice of facing a stale claim - In view of the likelihood that evidence which was earlier available - May have faded (H5) Executive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

ACTIONS - Statutes - Limitation law - Being a civil servant in Osun State - Trial court rightly held that it is the State's law and not the Federal enactment - That applies to employment of respondent (H8) Executive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

COURTS - Action - Hearing - Nothing prevents the court from determining the respondent's case - Hence argument that the substantive suit was not ripe for hearing is of no moment (H7) Executive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

COURTS - Adjournment - Grant - Discretion lies with trial court - Which must exercise same judicially and judiciously - Deciding on competing issues of justice and of speedy determination of the issues (H9) Executive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

COURTS - Preliminary objection - Determination of - The trial court has discretion by O. 22 r. 2 of its Rules - To entertain any point of law raised in pleadings before or at the trial (H3) Executive Gov. of Osun

State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

COURTS - Proceedings - Rules - Court does not operate in vacuum
- It operates within the ambit of the enabling laws and rules (H2)
Executive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation of - Fairness is expected from
both sides - Hence appellants cannot complain of denial of fair hear-
ing - Having not been fair to the court and respondent (H10) Execu-
tive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

JURISDICTION - Determination - Court has jurisdiction to deter-
mine - Whether it has jurisdiction to hear a case (H6) Executive Gov.
of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

OBJECTIONS - Preliminary objection - Limitation law - Pleadings -
Substance of the objection is a special defence - Which must be
pleaded specifically by party - Before relying on same (H4) Execu-
tive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

OBJECTIONS - Preliminary objection - Purpose - The objective is to
terminate a case at the preliminary stage - So that only issues that are
free from known legal handicap go to trial (H1) Executive Gov. of
Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 36 Okanlawon v. State p. 2431, ss. 91, 112 INEC v. Ogbadibo Local Govt. p. 2399

Evidence Act 2011, ss. 28, 29(4), 167(d) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431, ss. 29(4), 135(2), 139 State v. Gwan p. 2469

Penal Code, s. 97 State v. Gwan p. 2469

Public Officers Protection Act Cap. P41 LFN 2004, s. 2 INEC v. Ogbadibo Local Govt. p. 2399

Robbery & Fire Arms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. 398 Vol. 221 LFN 1990, ss. 1(2)(a), 2(2) Idemudia v. State p. 2369, s. 5(b), 1(2)(a) Fabiyi v. State p. 2349, ss. 5(b), 1(2)(a) Okanlawon v. State p. 2431

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. R11 LFN 2004, s. 6 State v. Gwan p. 2469

COURT OF APPEAL

High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 2008 of Osun State, O. 15 r. 7, O. 22 rr. 1 & 2 Executive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

Limitation Law of Osun State 2003 Cap 70 Executive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA

Public Officers Protection Act Executive Gov. of Osun State v. Folorunsho p. 2497 CA